

DIVISION OF NEUROPHARMACOLOGICAL DRUG PRODUCTS

REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF CLINICAL DATA

NDA Number

20,241

Generic (Brand) Name

Lamictal (lamotrigine)

Sponsor

GlaxoWellcome, Inc.

Indication Correspondence Date Response to Information Request

Date Received

2 July 1998 7 July 1998

Review Completed

21 July 1998

INTRODUCTION The Agency sent an approvable letter to GlaxoWellcome, dated 24 February 1998, in reference to its Lamictal (LTG) sNDA for monotherapy treatment of partialonset seizures in adults. Approval was pending submission of information concerning (1) SUDEP; (2) serious dermatologic events (type and number or rashes occurring in this population); (3) other adverse events (for the transition and monotherapy phases); and (4) safety data for all ongoing trials, dropouts, worldwide experience, and deaths or premature withdrawals. The present submission reviews exposure data and adverse events for the ≥450 and ≥500 mg/d dose (500 mg/d was the recommended dose in the controlled trial, US 30/31).

EXPOSURE DATA The table provided by the sponsor for the safety data base for LTG monotherapy in doses ≥500 mg (the monotherapy dose set by protocol UŠ30/31) is appended; according to Betty McConnell (GlaxoWellcome, phone conversation, 7/21/98), the population includes the controlled study US30/31 (18 patients for 3 months) and the open-label extensions. A total of 103 patients were on LTG monotherapy ≥500 mg/d involves for 3 months, 75 for 6 months, 67 for 9 months, 55 for 1 year, 42 for 2 years, and 26 for 3 years.

TREATMENT-EMERGENT ADVERSE EVENTS Treatment-emergent adverse events were nearly identical for the monotherapy phase of study US30/31 (Table 2) and monotherapy at ≥500 mg/d for all studies (Table 6). The most frequent symptoms (occurring with an incidence $\geq 5\%$) that were common to both studies: headache, coordination abnormality, dizziness, anxiety, insomnia, tremor, dyspepsia, nausea, vomiting, and rhinitis. Similarly, these are the symptoms with higher incidence on the list of treatment-emergent adverse events found in current labeling.

Although there was no category for hospitalized rash, generalized rash occurred in no more than 4% of the population on ≥450 mg/d (see Table 4) and was graded no higher than "moderate." The two cases of hospitalized rash (one of which was diagnosed as Stevens-Johnson), found in US30/31, occurred during the titration phase when patients were on LTG and a second anticonvulsant; the examples were therefore not included in the monotherapy tables (per Betty McConnell, GlaxoWellcome, phone conversation, 7/21/98).

SUMMARY

Because of an inadequate safety population data base at the dose

recommended for monotherapy in the sponsor's controlled trial (500 mg/d), I do not recommend approval of LTG for the indication of monotherapy in the treatment of adult partial-onset seizures.

/\$/

Richard M. Tresley MD Medical Reviewer

NDA 20,241Response to Approvable Letter (Monotherapy) div file/Katz R/Ware J/Tresley R/21 July 1998

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL



DIVISION OF NEUROPHARMACOLOGICAL DRUG PRODUCTS

REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF CLINICAL DATA

NDA Number

20,241

Generic (Brand) Name

Lamictal (lamotrigine)

Sponsor

Glaxo Wellcome, Inc.

Indication

Response to Approvable Letter for Monotherapy

Correspondence Date

15 April 1998

Date Received

15 April 1998

Review Completed

25 June 1998

INTRODUCTION The Agency sent an approvable letter to Glaxo Wellcome, dated 24 February 1998, in reference to its Lamictal (LTG) sNDA for monotherapy treatment of partial-onset seizures in adults. Approval was pending submission of information concerning (1) SUDEP; (2) serious dermatologic events (type and number or rashes occurring in this population); (3) other adverse events (for the transition and monotherapy phases); and (4) safety data for all ongoing trials, dropouts, worldwide experience, and deaths or premature withdrawals.

FINAL UPDATE: CHEWABLE FORMULATION No new information since the 23 Feb 1998 submission on rash in adults and children for the period 31 Dec 1996 through 31 Oct 1997, to be reviewed by Dr. John Feeney.

DOSE JUSTIFICATION: MONOTHERAPY IN EPILEPSY For the pivotal monotherapy study, US30/31, the LTG mean modal and average doses during the add-on (LTG titration) phase were 452 and 379 mg, respectively; and for the maintenance (monotherapy) phase, 493 and 491, respectively (v 37.1, p 108). At these doses, only 37% of randomized subjects completed the trial: 22/75 (29%) met escape criteria and an additional 5/75 (7%) withdrew because of inadequate response. Together 27/75, or 36%, of all LTG-treated patients dropped out for lack of efficacy. 15/75, 20%, withdrew because of adverse events.

The sponsor now argues for even lower, likely subtherapeutic, doses to avoid the side effects resulting from the high dose used in the pivotal trial (500 mg/d). Two basic arguments are provided to support lower doses (200-500 mg/d), claiming that "the same doses of LTG used with combination therapy of VPA plus enzyme-inducing AED may be adequate for LTG monotherapy" [v 1, p 68]):

(1) "the similarity in LTG pharmacokinetics between subjects taking LTG alone and those taking VPA in addition to enzyme-inducing AEDs indicates that comparable exposures can be achieved in the two groups after the same dose"; and

(2) "the lack of consistent effects of concomitant AED on ED $_{50}$ of LTG in animals suggested that the concentrations for effective seizure control in human may be similar in adjunctive therapy and monotherapy."

Neither argument has merit. As to the first, the actual LTG level, when used in combination, bears little relation to that in monotherapy; this appears to be true of all anticonvulsants whose levels are frequently altered by the addition of a second or third drug. Furthermore, the patients typically require higher levels when treated with only one drug, without the added protection of another on board. The second reason, which deals with ED_{50} in animals, is irrelevant to dose and effect in humans.

See the accompaning Table 1. Between 1 Sep 1996 and 31 Oct 1997, nine deaths were reported in the clinical trials (see Table 1), "all of which were considered by investigators to be unlikely to be related to the use of LTG" (v 2, p 2): cancer (2), ALS (1), suicide (2), trauma (2),

SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS Between 1 Sep 1996 and 31 Oct 1997, 71 serious adverse events (see Table 2) were reported in clinical trials, "of which 14 were considered by investigators to be possibly or probably related to LTG" (v 2, p 2). Despite 7 fatal outcomes, none was attributed to LTG; there were no reports of SUDEP. There was one report of rash, not identified

as Stevens-Johnson (SJ); there were no reports of multi-organ failure.

New serious adverse events (not appearing in current labeling or in the monotherapy trial sNDA) involve psychiatric conditions, which make up two-thirds of the reports in the current submission and are likely due to the large enrollment of patients with depression or bipolar disorder in LTG-monotherapy psychiatric clinical studies now ongoing an open-label trial evaluating LTG monotherapy in 75 bipolar patients, saw serious adverse events occurring in 22 patients, including 7 episodes of mania, 7 suicide attempts, and 1 rash not described as hospitalized or SJ (see v 2, p 2). See the accompanying Table 5.

In ongoing double-blind monotherapy trials in depression and bipolar disease (see the accompanying Appendix A), from which adverse events have been preliminarily tabulated, there are 294 known LTG-treated subjects (studies 2001, 20002, 2003) plus an unknown percentage of 428 either on LTG or placebo (studies 2005, 2010, 3001). (Note: there appears to be a discrepancy in the actual numbers: elsewhere in the same volume [p 3], the sponsor speaks of bipolar and depression trials, ongoing or completed as of 31 Oct 1997, as containing 512 patients,

358 of whom received LTG.)

It appears that the blind has been broken for subjects in the double-blind psychiatric trials who have presumably withdrawn prematurely and whose adverse events have been reported. Preliminary reports number, among the LTG-treated population, 8 reports of suicide attempts, 2 completed suicides, 2 psychotic episodes, and 7 episodes of mania and 2 of depression. Information on placebo patients, if indeed it is available, has not been provided. Why the blind has been broken for some patients is not known. Note that my counts have been made from the narratives provided (v 2, pp 41-85); the sponsor's Table 5 (v 2, p 27-28), in comparison, seems incomplete (for both the open-label and blinded trials, only 5 episodes of mania are listed, 3 suicide attempts, 2 completed suicides, and 3 episodes of depression).

WITHDRAWALS DUE TO ADVERSE EVENTS Adverse events leading to withdrawal in adults from ongoing monotherapy trials (UK 126, UK 136, and SCAA 4001) followed the known adverse event profile.

For the bipolar and depression trials which were ongoing or completed as of 31 Oct 1997 (358 of 512 patients received LTG), the adverse-event profile is only preliminary and by type (there are no incidences) seems generally similar (with the exception of the psychiatric events) to the adverse-event profile found in current labeling.

POSTMARKETING EXPERIENCE Between 1 Sep 1996 and 31 Oct 1997, there were 55 reports of serious adverse events in adults: 5 deaths, including 2 related to seizure events (SUDEP), 2 to cardiopulmonary arrest, and 1 to intrauterine causes; 4 cases of SJ; 1 case of TEN; 2 cases of erythema multiforme; 2 cases hypersensitivity (rash, fever, sore throat, other/systemic); No new adverse events, not found in current labeling, were noted. There were 4 attempted suicides, all of whom had a past history of suicide attempts.

PREGNANCY REGISTRY Established in 1992, the LTG pregnancy registry is composed of both prospective and retrospective data, but uses only prospective data to determine the risk of birth defects (see the accompanying Tables 2-5). As of 30 Sep 1997, there were 87 infants without birth defects and 5 with major malformations after first-trimester LTG exposure. 69% of the 92 exposures involved polytherapy (e.g., LTG + CBZ, LTG + VPA). There were no deaths among 40 patients with first-trimester LTG monotherapy exposure. The risk of birth defects following first-trimester exposure to LTG (5/92, or 5.4% [CI=2-13%]) does not differ from other anticonvulsants (6.2-9.6%), but the sample size is too small to derive definite conclusions about the safety of LTG in pregnancy.

Retrospective reporting has turned up 14 cases of LTG monotherapy and polytherapy. The data are not always certain. However, a review of both prospectively and retrospectively reported

birth defects fails to identify a particular pattern or syndrome.

Given the small numbers of reports from exposed pregnant women, few new guidelines or recommendations can be made. Continued caution about LTG use in pregnancy is strongly warranted, and close monitoring through the Pregnancy Registry is called for.

SUDEP The sNDA population base for completed monotherapy trials consisted of 868 LTG-treated subjects with a total patient-years exposure of 589.6. There were two deaths classified as SUDEP, resulting in a incidence of 0.0034 SUDEPs per patient-year, which is similar to the 20 SUDEPs per 5747 patient years (0.0035 SUDEPs per patient-year) in the approved US label.

There are 905 additional LTG-treated patients from monotherapy trials that were ongoing at the time of the sNDA submission, constituting an estimated total of 326.4 patient-years of exposure. There was one sudden death in this population not classified as SUDEP by the investigator: 74-year-old male, with a history of stroke, asthma, and partial seizures, who, after 4 months on LTG 200 mg/d, experienced "decompensation cordis" necessitating the addition of furosemide to his regimen of verapamil, levothyroxine, and salmeterol. Three days later, he was found dead, the cause of which was attributed to heart attack. Even if this death is included with the other two, there is a SUDEP rate of only 0.0030 per patient-year exposure (3 SUDEPs per 916 patient-years; the combined population, n = 905 + 868 = 1773, for 589.6 + 326.4 = 916 total patient-years exposure). This rate is within the range of those reported in the current LTG US label, as well as in patients with epilepsy not receiving LTG.

During a Feb 1998 telecon with the sponsor, the Agency requested additional information on exposure at the ≥500-mg daily dose level used in its pivotal monotherapy epilepsy trial, as well as on the incidences of SUDEP and rash. But the sponsor in the present submission really appears only to have recapitulated its sNDA review of rash in monotherapy studies (which does not, however, group patients by dose). There is little that is new here. The sNDA population of completed monotherapy trials consisted of 868 subjects, divided into two groups based on trial design: (a) initial-monotherapy studies (conducted in Europe, usually as active control trials, blinded or open-label), containing a total of 453 subjects; and (b) withdrawal-to-monotherapy studies (including the pivotal US30/31), with a total of 415 subjects. Although an additional 905 patients have received LTG monotherapy in ongoing trials, 744 of whom were enrolled in initialmonotherapy protocols, the sponsor only provides tabulated data for the original cohort of 868 patients. Subsequent to the present response and at the Agency's request, the sponsor has submitted a new tables of exposure on 10 Jun 1998, with n=283 for those receiving ≥400 mg/d, (the 24 Jun 1998 submission provided duration of exposure for this cohort). Nonetheless, this new submission failed to speak specifically to the question of monotherapy at daily doses ≥500 mg (the sNDA population for ≥500-mg dose consisted of 58 subjects).

See the accompanying graphs entitled Table 1-11. The present review analyzes incidence

of rash with LTG use by reference to the two mechanisms the sponsor has postulated for the development of rash with LTG use: (1) "incorrect dosing," either too high a dose or too-rapid a titration; and (2) combination therapy with Depakote. The Agency had earlier obtained a Dermatology consult Dr. John A. Messenheimer (Glaxo-Wellcome) blamed the higher incidence of hospitalized rash in US30/31 on the 500-mg daily dose, comparing the infrequent number of case of hospitalized rash in the European active-control initial-monotherapy trials (daily LTG doses of 100 or 200 mg). An Agency consult from Dermatology (dated 8 Dec 1994; by Hon-Sum Ko MD) would also support a dose relationship between LTG and the incidence of skin rash, possibly based on increased LTG plasma levels.

The sponsor further divides rash into four categories: any rash, rash leading to LTG discontinuation, rash associated with hospitalization, and possible SJ. The terms rash and any rash include the COSTART terms rash, urticaria, rash vesiculobullous, erythema multiforme, rash maculopapular, and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. A case was classified as SJ or TEN if reported as such by the investigator or clinical information indicated mucous membrane involvement or blistering skin lesions. The category of rash leading to hospitalization did NOT include cases in which "LTG was not discontinued in relation to the hospitalization." According to the sponsor, the "term 'serious rash' as used in this document includes any rash associated with hospitalization or considered to be possible SJS" (v 2, p 212), and all cases of serious rash were examined by the same expert who reviewed the cases of serious rash in pediatric patients. Finally, the sponsor distinguishes between "correct" dosing -- or dosing according to recommendation in labeling -- and "incorrect" dosing -- too rapid titration or use of higher than recommended doses.

The sponsor's tables, reproduced at the end, showing the incidence of rash in completed monotherapy trials (n=868); as divided by correct (n=517) and incorrect dosing (n=351); as compared to CBZ and PHT in the active-control initial-monotherapy trials (LTG doses were 100 or 200 mg per day); during the adjunctive and monotherapy portions of the withdrawal-tomonotherapy trials (including the pivotal US30/31 and well as other trials in which doses were much lower than 500 mg/d); and the latter then divided again into correct and incorrect dosing.

With reference to Table 1 (all completed monotherapy trials), there are 3 cases of hospitalized rash for a rate of 3/868 (3%, or about 1/282), and 2 cases of SJ for a rate of 2/868 (2%, or 1/424). In pivotal study US30/31 (500 mg/d dose), there were 2/75 cases of hospitalized rash and 1/75 SJ. The other tables show -- as recognized previously from other empiric evidence -- that the highest incidence of rash during the adjunctive phase of treatment occurred with the addition of LTG to VPA, while the lowest incidence was associated with the addition of LTG to enzyme-inducing anticonvulsants (EIAED). Patients receiving LTG initial monotherapy experienced higher incidences of rash and discontinuation for rash than did those on the withdrawal-to-monotherapy regimen. Furthermore, correct and incorrect dosing does not appear to have had a significant impact on the incidence of rash in initial-monotherapy studies.

Finally, the sponsor has not presented (as requested) a list of adverse events, including rash, showing the incidence for subjects in the ≥500-mg daily dose cohort.

There have been two published reports of serious dermatologic reactions (TEN and hypersensitivity), confirmed by skin biopsy, both of which the sponsor cites. Both cases have relevance to the sponsor's sNDA under consideration, since the dose in one and the titration schedule in the other are similar to those found in the US30/31, the study submitted to obtain

approval for monotherapy.

TEN was diagnosed in a 24-year-old female (with no personal or family history of skin diseases) who was started on LTG, after her baseline anticonvulsant (CBZ) was withdrawn, at 50 mg/d, then increased by 50 mg/d every 4 days until she was on LTG monotherapy 100 mg bid and seizure free. On the day 20 (17 days after LTG monotherapy initiation), she developed cutaneous symptoms, and was at that time immediately taken off LTG and placed on phenobarbital (150 mg/d), with clobazame (25 mg/d) added later. (See Fogh K et al, "Toxic epidermal necrolysis after treatment with LTG," Seizure 6 (1997):63-5.)

The hypersensitivity reaction occurred in a 24-year-old white male with poorly controlled epilepsy on a regimen of acetazolamide and nitrazepam. LTG 200 mg bid was begun (titration schedule not provided). After 1 week, he developed a progressively worsening rash (with fever, transaminase elevation, and possibly mild renal insufficiency [Cr 2.2]; skin biopsy consistent with a "hemorrhagic drug eruption"), requiring hospitalization and resolving with IV steroids. (See Jones D, "Phenytoin-like hypersensitivity associated with LTG," J Am Acad Derm 36 [1997]:1016-8).

PORPHYRIA INDUCTION The sponsor, citing a recently published in vitro study, reports that LTG (along with felbamate and tiagabine) have been to induce porphyrin synthesis in cultured chick embryos liver cells, a model used to demonstrate potential porphyrogenic properties (Hahn M et al, "Effects of new anticonvulsant medications on porphyrin synthesis in cultured liver cells," Neurology 49 [1997]:97-106). The study suggests that LTG may "induce porphyric synthesis. . .in a dose-dependent manner, which may be a predictor of inducing porphyria in patients with defects in heme synthesis" (v 2, p 7).

LABELING The sponsor recommends daily doses of 200-500 mg for monotherapy treatment of adult partial-onset seizures and includes sections on both the scenario of withdrawal-to-LTG monotherapy and initial-LTG monotherapy. The pivotal monotherapy trial (US30/31), employing a withdrawal-to-monotherapy design, does not support the use of doses lower than 500 mg/d or the use of LTG as initial monotherapy; see my discussion above about the sponsor's justification for the lower doses. Moreover, the sponsor's newly proposed titration over a period of 4-8 weeks would leave patients dangerously unprotected by subtherapeutic doses.

SUMMARY Because of an inadequate safety population data base (n=58 in the sNDA, and no additional information to enlarge the population) -- lacking as well a table of adverse events specifically relating daily doses ≥500 mg -- I do not recommend approval of LTG for the indication of monotherapy in the treatment of adult partial-onset seizures.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) Request specific exposure and adverse event data for daily doses ≥500 mg, with a formal review of rash in this cohort.
- (2) Epidemiology consult to review Pregnancy Registry data.
- (3) Psychiatry consult to review suicide and mania data in bipolar and depression clinical trials.
- (4) Add to labeling (under "Warnings" or "Precautions") the potential for LTG to induce porphyria, based on *in vitro* studies.

Richard M. Tresley MD Medical Reviewer U

NDA 20,241Response to Approvable Letter (Monotherapy) div file/Katz R/Ware J/Tresley R/25 June 1998

TABLE I CONFIDENTIAL

DEST POSSIBLE COPY

Table 1
Deaths in Lemictal Clinical Trials
Reporting period 9-1-96 to 10-31-97
> 16 years

I				Fig.	No.	
Pelation	unithely	Recolved Unitionly	Unliberly	reideral Francism (7D) Steal (tribuly forth fort	Unitheir	Unlibely
Dur Outcome	Teta and the second	peolived	Fatal	The state of the s	Patel	Fatal
H	1 1 1 1		B		Q •	A A
	acciden acciden acciden	4	ent wuledo		defence	
at the	covasc sech sech smecko ratotty	e comment	o accide		ineur o lat.e	P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P
Tilms/ Adverse Events Caset	Poss carehrovaso accidentiilo Fatal Slurrad speech Porthagia Heachcha Decreased conectiousess Lower respiratory infact.	Hp fracture Hp surgary Falling Myostatio prassonia	Road traffic accident Poss.eptleptic convulsion	Manual hearing	Pespiratory insufficiency in	Pressonia Necelasm of brain
Time/Adams	6 8 8 9 8 9 3	67 E E E E	8 & 8 &		2 4	81 83 84 54 :
						-
Frequency	#					
	1	eciti	eribij		eu ph	ed for
Study Drug, Unit Dose,	Lamotedgina 25 MG	Lean of the control o	Lemotrologue	100 CENTRAL	Lemototigina Placebo	Lemotrigine
	drogden	lngfori	apu			ag S
Sex Country	United Kingdom	United Kingdom Lemotrigina	M Natherlands	57x,01908 co. 407 co. M. Finland	Sweden	F Natherlands
	E.	L i	Σ		X	z h
94b.10/ Aga 12c. No.	x 67	797.	31%	400	200	69 %
98.5 以 改	02303	01006	16387 OPEN	01500	00028	16681 OPEN
Nurber	B0045438	B0041863	B0046530	10045657, 0190 1110 (0129	1051010 B0045207 00028 00028	B0045810
Protocol Case Numb	105124	105124	105136	Prof. Thirty may be consolved bouckers, of 1908 as Aur. Purition of the consolved by the co	1051010	105136
	K	ALIA ALIA	KUM KUM		708	D CORG
ysten	CARDIDVASCILAR	DRUG INTERNACION OVERDOSE & TRAINA	DRUG INTERACTION OVERDOSE & TRAUM		LOWER RESPIRATORY	LOWER RESETRATORY
Body System	CAROII	DRUG 1	OVERDO		LOWER	IOWER

TABLE 1 CONFIDENTIAL

Dur Outcoms Relation	Fatal unithouty	Unlibaly	ib Fatal unitionly
Outcome	igia	10 Patal	Patel
Ħ		а	a
Time/ Adverse Events Onset	Hemiparsets Debardoration:condition Death	170 Suicide	1क अपटाटक
i		ij	81
Prequency		Ą	
Study Drug, Unit Dose, Prequency	Lamotralgina	Lemotralgine	Lemotrologine 50 NG
Protocol Case ID Sub.ID/ Age Sex Country Nurber IX, No.	105124 B0045131 02907 77% F United Kingdom Lemotrigina 02907	ж изм	SCREZ003 B0050474 03901 48Y M Dermark OPEN
8 2	<i>217</i> 2	381	48Y
34b.10/ 7x. No.	02907	3166 102	03901 CPEN
Case ID Narber	B0045131	SCAA2010 A0056708 3166 38Y M USA 102	B0050474
Protocol	105124	SCPA2010	SC982003
Body System	NETROLOGY	PSYCHIAIRY	PSYCHIATRY

TABLE 5 CONFIDENTIAL

Table 5 Adverse Events (Raw Terms) that Resulted in a Adult Patient (>16 years old) Withdrawal from a LAMICTAL Bipolar Clinical Study by 31 October 1997.

Body System Adverse Experience	Total #Cases	# Fatal	Study Number
Blood and Lymphatic			1 (UMDC)
Neutropenia	1		SCAA2010
Cardiovascular			
Myocardial infarction	1		SCAB2001
Endocrine and Metabolic			
Sweating Sweating			
Weight gain	1		601
o.g.n. gam			SCAB2001
Gastrointestinal			
Nausea			
Vomit			601
Ulcer(s) of oral mucosa			601
in a a ha a a great Track			SCAB2001
Hepatobiliary Tract &			
Pancreas			
Hepatitis	1		SCAB2002
			SCAD2002
Neurology			
Insomnia	3		SCAA2011, SCAB2001
Headache	2		SCAB2001
Somnolence	1		601
Dizziness	1		SCAB2001
Tremor Agitation	1		601
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		SCAB2001
Light headedness Memory loss	1		SCAA2011
ingling in hands	1		SCAA2011
Cerebrovasc Acci	1		SCAA2011
peech disorder			601
Convuls			601
Pream abnormality	1		601
			SCAB2001
on-site Specific			
ace Edema	1		CCADOOO
ever	î		SCAB2002
dema			SCAA2010
			601

TABLE 5 CONFIDENTIAL

Body System Adverse Experience	Total #Cases	# Fatal	Study Number
Psychiatry			
Mania	5		601, SCAB2001, SCAB2002
Suicide Attempt	3		601, SCAB2001
Depression	3		SCAB2001
Emotional lability	3		601, SCAB2001, SCAB2002
Confusion	2		601, SCAA2011
Suicide	2	2	SCAA2010, SCAB2005
Irritability	1		SCAA2011
Anxiety	ı		601
Psychotic disorder	i		SCAB2001
Depressive psychosis	1		SCAB2001
			불만 작업을 내기되는 것이었다
Reproductive			
Abnormal menses	1		SCAB2002
Erectile dysfunction	1		SCAA2011
Skin			
Rash	วัล		601 CCAD2001 CCAD2000
	200		601, SCAB2001, SCAB2002,
Pruntis	2		SCAA2010, SCAA2012
Excer. of psoriasis			SCAB2001, SCAB2003 SCAA2010
Herpes zoster			
	***		SCAB2001
Total Number of Patients	61	2	
ti .	u u		

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

APPENDIX A - CONFIDENTIAL

Summary of Characteristics of Studies Evaluating LAMICTAL in Adult Patients (> 16 years old) for Monotherapy Enrollment as of 31 October 1997 (Deaths, SAEs, Withdrawals Due to Adverse Events) Appendix A.

	Patient Type			Partial Seizures	Generalized		Generalized Seizures	Partial Seizure	Treatment Resistant	Elderly /Newly	Diagnosed		Newly	Newly Diagnosed and Treatment	Resistant Amyotrophic Lateral
	Number Exposed to LTGd			103	21 (2 pade)6	c(spad c)	279 (136 peds)c	314 (173 pede)e	ONK	75			UNK	241	20
	Age				>12		*	>2	73	>65			≥12		240
	No. Entered	SJu		104	42 (5 neds)e	(enad a)	419 (204 peds)e	471 (260 peds)e	383	peds)~			712	2411	20
	Completion Status	Adult Patie		Completed	Ongoing		Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Pt. Enroll-	ment		Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing
ment	Doses (mg/day)	pilepsy with		300-700	25-500		2-500	5-1000	200-500	100-500			100-500	25-500	25-300
LAMICTAL Treatment	Duration (weeks)	Clinical Trials for Epilepsy with Adult Patients		96	32		24	24	8(add-on) 8(withdrawal) 8(monotherapy) Total 24	28			20	24	46
	Regil formu	Clinic		b.i.d. Tabs	Tabs		q.d. CD/Tabs	q.d. CD	q.d. Tabs	b.i.d.	Tabs	ored Studies	q.d. Tabs	q.d./b.i.d. Tabs	q.d. Tabs
	Controlb			None or VPA	VPA		VPA	CBZ	VPA CBZ	CBZ		Sponsored S	VPA CBZ	None	PBO
Studya	Design		ies	OL or DBC	DB-P	lies	SB	SB	7 0	DB		mpanies	70	To	DB-CO
Stu	Objective		red Stud	S	S, E	ored Stuc	S	S	S	S,E		rating Co	S	S	S, E
	Protocol Number		US Sponsored Studies	US 29	SCAA4001	UK Sponsored Studies	UK 126	UK 136	UK 133 SCAB3001	UK 124		Local Operating Companies Sponso	105-405	105-1006. (Netherlands)	105-1010 (Sweden)

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

APPENDIX A - CONFIDENTIAL

		·		'								
Patient Type			Bipolar 1 & II	Bipolar I, Depressed	Bipolar I,	Bipolar I & II	Bipolar I & II	Bipolar I & II Rapid Cyclers	Bipolar I, Depressed	Bipolar I, Manic State	Bipolar I & II	Unipolar Depression
Number	to LTGd		75	128	1111	Clay Unk	16	19	55	21	Unk	Unk
Age	range		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 \ 1	1 × 1	- - - - - - -	>18	× 18	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	8 8	>18	in the second
No.	Entered		75	193	111	51	16	19	55	21	14	24
Completion Status			Compl	Compl	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing	Ongoing
Doses (mg/dom)	(IIIB/Uay)	ar Studies	25-500	25-200	25-500	25-500	25-500	25-500	25-500	25-500	25-500	25-500
Duration	(weeks)	Bipol	24 +24 Total 48	1	52	10	52	8-16 (open) 32 (DB) Total 40-48	8-16 (open) 52 (DB) Total 60-68	8-16 (open) 52 (DB) Total 60-68	32	8
Regimen & formulation ^e			b.i.d. CD	b.i.d. CD	b.i.d. CD	q.d.	q.d. CD	q.d.	b.i.d.	b.i.d. CD	G. f. d.	SCAA 2011 E, Ls DB-P Desipramine q.d. 8 CD CD
Controlb			PBO	PBO	None	PBO	None	PBO	Lithium	Lithium	Lithium	Desipramine
Design			TO	DB-P	TO	DB-P	To	RE	RE	RE	DB-P	DB-P
Objective			ਜ ல	E, S	S	E, S	S	E, S	ਸ਼ ਨ	E, S	E, S	E, Ls
Protocol			105-601	SCAB 2001	SCAB 2002	SCAA 2010	SCAA 2014	SCAA 2012	SCAB 2003	SCAB 2006	SCAB 2005	SCAA 2011
	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration Doses Completion No. Age Number	ObjectiveDesignControlbRegimen & formulation*DurationDosesCompletionNo.AgeNumberImage: Control of the properties	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration Duration Doses Completion No. Age Number Right (mg/day) Status Entered range Exposed to LTGd **Bipolar Studies**	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration formulation* Duration (weeks) Completion (mg/day) Completion (mg/day) Completion (mg/day) No. Age (mg/day) Number (mg/day) E,S OL PBO b.i.d. 24 +24 25-500 Compl 75 >18 75	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & formulation* formulation* Duration Doses Completion No. Age Number E, S OL PBO b.i.d. 24+24 25-500 Compl 75 >18 75 E, S OB-P PBO b.i.d. 7 25-200 Compl 193 >18 128	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration formulation* Duration formulation* Doses Completion Status Completion formulation* Duration formulation* Dura	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Duration formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Completion formulations Duration formulations Durations Durations <td>Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration formulation* Duration formulation* Doses Completion Status Completion formulation* Completion formulation* Multiple Mu</td> <td>Objective Design Control b Regimen & Duration formulation* Duration formulation* Doses Completion Status Completion status No. Age bunded range supposed range in the LTGd range supposed status Number range in the LTGd range supposed range in the LTGd range supposed range suppos</td> <td> Design Control Regimen & Duration Doses Status Entered Tange Exposed Formulation Compulation Compulation </td> <td> Collective Design Control Regimen & Duration Doses Completion No. Age Raposed E.S OL PBO b.i.d. Total 48 S.5.500 Completion Total 48 S.5.500 Completion Total 4. S.5.500 Completion S.5.500 S.5.500 S.5.500 Completion S.5.500 S.5.500 Completion S.5.500 S.5.500 </td> <td> Cobjective Design Controllo Regiment Doses Completion No. Age Number Lithium Doses Completion No. Age Number Lithium Dose Completion No. Age Number Lithium Dose Completion No. Age Number Lithium Cob Completion No. Age Number Cob Cob Cob Completion Completi</td>	Objective Design Controlb Regimen & Duration formulation* Duration formulation* Doses Completion Status Completion formulation* Completion formulation* Multiple Mu	Objective Design Control b Regimen & Duration formulation* Duration formulation* Doses Completion Status Completion status No. Age bunded range supposed range in the LTGd range supposed status Number range in the LTGd range supposed range in the LTGd range supposed range suppos	Design Control Regimen & Duration Doses Status Entered Tange Exposed Formulation Compulation Compulation	Collective Design Control Regimen & Duration Doses Completion No. Age Raposed E.S OL PBO b.i.d. Total 48 S.5.500 Completion Total 48 S.5.500 Completion Total 4. S.5.500 Completion S.5.500 S.5.500 S.5.500 Completion S.5.500 S.5.500 Completion S.5.500 S.5.500	Cobjective Design Controllo Regiment Doses Completion No. Age Number Lithium Doses Completion No. Age Number Lithium Dose Completion No. Age Number Lithium Dose Completion No. Age Number Lithium Cob Completion No. Age Number Cob Cob Cob Completion Completi

Table 2. Prospective Registry - Lamotrigine Exposure in Pregnancy by Earliest Trimester of **Exposure and Outcome**

1 September 1992 - 30 September 1997

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

		Birth D	efects			No Birth Das				
Earliest Trimester of Exposure	Live Birth	Spontaneous Pregnancy Loss ^b	Fetal Death ^c	Induced Abortion	Live Birth	No Birth Defe Spontaneous Pregnancy Loss b	Fetal Death ^c	Induced Abortion	Total Outcomes	
First	4	0	0	1	87 ^d	6	0	20		
Second	0	0	0	0	1	0		20	118	
Third	0	0	0	0	0	Ŏ	0	0	1	
Unspecified	0	0	0	0	4°	0	0	0	0	
Cottal	4	0	0	1	92	6	0	20	123	

^aBirth defect not reported but cannot be ruled out.

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

Pregnancy Loss occurring < 20 weeks gestation

^c Pregnancy Loss occurring ≥ 20 weeks gestation

dincludes 1 set of twins.

eRepresents 1 set of triplets.

Table 3. Prospective Registry - Lamotrigine Exposure in Pregnancy Summaries of Defects by Earliest Trimester of Exposure and Polytherapy Status

1 September 1992 - 30 September 1997

First-Trimester Lamotrigine Polytherapy Exposure

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

Case Report #		Exposure	Date of Report	Infant Sex	Gestationa Weeks at Outcome	Catcome
2624	32	Lamotrigine 2000 mg/day from week 0-7	15 Oct 90	M	40	Live infant with one extra digit on one hand.
		Carbamazepine preconception throughout pregnancy				
2663	46	Lamotrigine 50 mg/day from week 0-40	7 Nov 94	F	Unknown	Live infant with bilateral talipes
		Valproic Acid throughout pregnancy				
2693	29	Lamotrigine 400 mg/day from week 0 600 mg/day from week 12 800 mg/day from week 16	9 Nov 95		37	Live infant with skin tags on left ear, no opening to ear canal on right ear. ^b
		Gabapentin preconception and throughout pregnancy				
689 evious inf	23	Lamotrigine 600 mg/day from week 0-37 Phenytoin and Primidone preconception throughout pregnancy.	12 Dec 94	M		Live infant with cardiac murmur and patent foramen ovale requiring banding around pulmonary artery; baby died at 3 months following corrective surgery (bronchiolitis and seizures just prior to death).

Previous infant born with cardiac septal defect, multiple extra bones in left thumb, distortion of penis. The infant also had tremors intermittently for about 5 days post birth and was jaundiced.

Table 3. Prospective Registry - Lamotrigine Exposure in Pregnancy Summaries of Defects by Earliest Trimester of Exposure and Polytherapy Status (con't)

1 September 1992 - 30 September 1997

First-Trimester Lamotrigine Polytherapy Exposure (cont'd)

Case Report #	Age	Exposure	Date of Report Infant . Sex	Gestational Weeks at Outcome	Outcome
2696		Lamotrigine 700 mg/day from week 0	8 Dec 95 Unknown	17	Induced abortion. Lumbar neural tube defect with early evidence of
		Clobazam preconception through first			ventriculomegaly and a derangement of the posterior fossa.
		rimester.			

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

Table 4. Prospective Registry - Antiepileptic Drug Polytherapy Exposure in Pregnancy, by Trimester of Exposure and Outcome

1 September 1992 - 30 September 1997

First-Trimester Exposures:

Outcomes without Reported

Birth Defectsa

		Dirtii Dele			
Concomitant Antiepileptic Drug Exposures	Outcomes with Birth Defects	Live Births Without Defects	Spontaneous Pregnancy Losses/Fetal Deaths	Induced Abortions	Total
lamotrigine monotherapy	0	29	2	9	
carbamazepine	e de t elebra	11	2	3	40
clobazam	1	0	ō	ა 0	17
clonazepam	0	1	Ŏ	0	1
phenytoin	0	3	o		1
gabapentin		0	0	1	4
phenobarbital	0	3	o	0	1
primidone	0		0	1	4
valproate	1	12	0	0	. 1
vigabatrin	0	1	0	2	15
carbamazepine & clobazam	0	1	0	0	1
carbamazepine & clonazepam	0	2	0	0	1
carbamazepine & clorazepate	0	ō		0	2
carbamazepine & methylphenobarbitone	0		0	0	5 5 4 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
carbamazepine & phenytoin	0	3			
carbamazepine & valproate	0	3	o	1	5
carbamazepine & vigabatrin	0	3	i i i	0	3
clobazam & vigabatrin	0		Ö		4
clonazepam & phenytoin	0		0	0	1
clonazepam & primidone	0		0	0	1
diazepam & valproate	0		0	0	1
gabapentin & phenytoin	0		o o	0	1
phenobarbital & phenytoin	0		0	0	1
phenytoin & primidone			0	0	1
phenytoin & valproate	0	3	7 T. T. I.	0	2
Darbexacione & carbamazepine & phenytoin	0		0	0	3 . 1
arbamazepine & clobazam & clonazepam	0		0	0	1
arbamazepine & diazepam & abapentin	0		0	0	1
arbamazepine & felbamate & henytoin	Ö	0	0	1	1
arbamazepine & phenobarbital & primidone	0	0	0		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
otal	5	87	6	20	118